cited in evidence to support a judicially created obviousness type double-patenting rejection, and the patent to Dennsion, et al, US Patent 5,235,633 being cited as evidence in support of a judicially created obviousness-type double patenting rejection of Claims 9-13, 23, 26, 30, 33, 34, 35, 38, 44, 47, 49, 53, 55, 57, 61 and 64.

Claims 32 and 33 have been amended to overcome the rejection based on 35 U.S.C. §112, and the disclosure has been amended to correct the items identified in the Office Action. Accordingly, no further comments will be directed to these portions of the Office Action, and the remainder of this response will be directed to the rejections based on art.

Included herewith is a Terminal Disclaimer for both US

Patent 5,546,445 and US Patent 5,235,633. Accordingly, both of

these patents should be removed as references under the doctrine

of judicially-created obviousness type double patenting.

Since no other grounds of rejection or objection were cited, it appears that this case is now in condition for allowance.

Accordingly, Claims 1-65 should be allowed. Formal Drawings will be submitted along with the Issue Fee after a Notice of Allowance is received.

Respectfully submitted,

Terry M. Gernstein

1015 Salt Meadow Lane McLean, VA 22101 (703) 790-5945 July 30, 1998